



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,717	10/23/2003	Kiyokuni Kawachiya	JP920020196US1	6813
759	90 06/28/2006		EXAM	INER
Louis P. Herzberg			DALEY, CHRISTOPHER ANTHONY	
Intellectual Prop	erty Law Dept.			
IBM Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 218			2111	
Vorktown Heigh	te NV 10508			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/691,717	KAWACHIYA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher A. Daley	2111				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEL	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Oc						
,	·					
• •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	x parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.				
Disposition of Claims						
Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
5)						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 October 2003 is/are:		to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		-				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zolnowsky (US5826081) in view of Cutler et al (US5291581) hereinafter Cutler.

2. As to claim 1, Zolnowsky discloses an exclusion controller which allows an information processing unit to acquire a contended resource to the exclusion of other information processing units, the exclusion controller comprising: a plurality of non-prioritized information processing units mutually exclusively acquiring a non-prioritized exclusion right by a first process, the non-prioritized exclusion right indicating a candidate for acquiring the contended resource (Figure 5 illustrates said controller controlling high priority queue 501 that allows the selected thread to access shared resource 503, excluding other threads. These steps are shown in figure 7, steps 701 and 702, Col. 8, lines 14 – 18); and Zolnowsky does not explicitly disclose a prioritized information processing unit

acquiring the contended resource by a second process to the exclusion of the non-prioritized information processing unit having acquired the non-prioritized exclusion right, the second process requiring a shorter processing time than the first process.

Art Unit: 2111

However, Cutler teaches of a prioritized information processing unit acquiring the contended resource by a second process to the exclusion of the non-prioritized information processing unit having acquired the non-prioritized exclusion right, the second process requiring a shorter processing time than the first process. Figure 4 illustrates a mode (epicode mode) in step 405 where access to the memory unit is gained in an efficient manner, COL. 4, lines 23 – 50. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the epicode mode of Cutler in the multiprocessor system of Zolnowsky to improve the data flow efficiency of said system, (COL. 1, lines 63 – 65). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine the epicode mode of Cutler with the system of Zolnowsky to provide an efficient system, COL. 1, lines 63 – 65.

Page 3

3. As to claim 2, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising: a prioritized exclusion right storage area for storing prioritized exclusion right information indicating that the prioritized information processing unit is trying to acquire the contended resource (Figure 4A illustrates a storage area, queue 404, associated with controller processor N (403) that stores required thread, COL. 6, lines 30 – 35); and a non-prioritized exclusion right storage area for storing non-prioritized exclusion right information indicating which of the plurality of non-prioritized information processing units has acquired the non-prioritized exclusion right, wherein each non-prioritized information processing unit executes, as the first process, a process for storing the non-prioritized exclusion right information indicating

that the non-prioritized information processing unit has acquired the non-prioritized exclusion right, to the exclusion of the other non-prioritized information processing units if the non-prioritized exclusion right information has not yet stored, and the prioritized information processing unit executes, as the second process, a process for storing the prioritized exclusion right information and then reading the non-prioritized exclusion right storage area, and acquires the contended resource if the non-prioritized exclusion right information is not stored, but does not acquire the contended resource if the non-prioritized exclusion right information has already stored (Figure 4A illustrates processor 1, processor 2, as non-prioritized processing unit comprising queues such as 401 that comprises the non-prioritized threads, COL.6, lines 30-52).

4. As to claim 3, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising: an area usage type storage area for storing information indicating which of the non-prioritized exclusion right information or prioritized information processing unit information the non-prioritized exclusion right storage area stores, wherein the non-prioritized exclusion right storage area stores any one of the non-prioritized exclusion right information and the prioritized information processing unit information indicating which of the plurality of information processing units is the prioritized information processing unit, and each of the prioritized information processing unit and the plurality of non-prioritized information processing units acquires the non-prioritized exclusion right by the first process if the non-prioritized exclusion right

storage area stores the non-prioritized exclusion right information, and the information processing unit acquires the contended resource if the information processing unit has acquired the non-prioritized exclusion right (Figure 5 illustrates the acquisition of the shared resource, memory 503 by having the scheduler 1 through scheduler N afford said capture based on the requisite of the high priority real time queue 501, Col. 7, lines 25 – 34).

- 5. As to claim 4, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising a prioritized information processing unit change unit for changing the prioritized information processing unit into a non-prioritized information processing unit if non-prioritized exclusion right information has been already stored, in the second process (Figure 5 illustrates a series of schedulers enabled by the high priority policy of real time queue 501 that can shift from processor 1 to processor n dependent on the policy being executed, , COL. 7, lines 25 34).
- 6. As to claim 5, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising: an acquisition check unit for checking whether the acquisition of the contended resource by any one of the first and second processes has failed (Figure 6 illustrates the checking for acquisition of the resource in step 604, COL. 7, line 65 Col. 8, line 12); and a monitor control unit for executing exclusion control by a monitor mode in which all the information processing units waiting to acquire the contended resource are previously recorded and the information processing unit having released the

Page 6

Art Unit: 2111

contended resource notifies the information processing units waiting to acquire the contended resource that the contended resource has been released, if a check has been made that the acquisition of the contended resource has failed (Figure 6, step 601 illustrates the selection of a thread from all contenting processors, thus a notification scheme need to be in place to allow such notification, COL. 7, lines 55 – 64).

- 7. As to claim 6, Zolnowsky discloses—the exclusion controller, further comprising: a prioritized information processing unit information storage area for storing prioritized information processing unit information indicating which of the information processing units is the prioritized information processing unit; and a prioritized information processing unit setting unit for allowing any one of the plurality of information processing units to execute a process for storing, in the prioritized information processing unit information storage area, the prioritized information processing unit information indicating that the information processing unit is the prioritized information processing unit, to the exclusion of the other information processing units if the prioritized information processing unit information processing units if the prioritized information processing unit information has not been stored (Figure 5 illustrates the high priority queue 501 that comprises said storage function, COL. 7, lines 20 23).
- 8. As to claim 7, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising a prioritized information processing unit setting unit for setting the information processing

Art Unit: 2111

unit having first acquired the contended resource as the prioritized information processing unit and for setting the other information processing units except the prioritized information processing unit as the non-prioritized information processing units (The scheduling variable that pre-empts the other processors can be executed to deliver said configuration, COL. 7, lines 15-30).

- 9. As to claim 8, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising a prioritized information processing unit change unit for changing any one of the non-prioritized information processing units into the prioritized information processing unit (Scheduling variable can enable said configuration, COL. 7, lines 24 34).
- 10. As to claim 9, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising: a full stop unit for stopping all of the plurality of information processing units, wherein the prioritized information processing unit change unit changes the non-prioritized information processing unit having acquired the contended resource at the time when all of the plurality of information processing units are stopped, into the prioritized information processing unit (Scheduling variable in each processor afford said configuration, COL. 7, lines 24 –34).
- 11. As to claim 10, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising: a resource information storage area for storing resource information indicating whether

Art Unit: 2111

the contended resource has been acquired by any one of the plurality of information processing units, while associating the resource information with priority right information indicating that the prioritized information processing unit exists, wherein the prioritized information processing unit executes, as the second process, a process for reading information from the resource information storage area and for writing the resource information in the resource information storage area if the priority right information is stored, and wherein each non-prioritized information processing unit comprises: a prioritized information processing unit stop unit for stopping the prioritized information processing unit (Processor controlling high priority queue which comprise the variable to stop prioritized processor, COL. 7, lines 24 –34); a priority right removal unit for removing the priority right information from the resource information storage area by the first process exclusively from the other information processing units after the prioritized information processing unit stop unit has stopped the prioritized information processing unit (Execution of requisite variable would afford said action, COL. 7, lines 24 -34); a transient state check unit for checking whether the stopped prioritized information processing unit is executing the second process (Figure 6, step 602); and an execution state setting unit for setting an execution state of the prioritized information processing unit to a state in which the prioritized information processing unit is not acquiring the contended resource by the second process, if a check has

Art Unit: 2111

been made that the prioritized information processing unit is executing the second process (figure 6, step 604).

- 12. As to claim 11, Zolnowsky discloses—the exclusion controller, wherein the execution state setting unit sets the execution state by setting execution location information indicating an execution location of a program realizing the prioritized information processing unit to be in a state before the resource information storage area is read (Setting processor variables can achieve said configuration, COL. 7, lines 24 34).
- 13. As to claim 12, Zolnowsky discloses—the exclusion controller, wherein the execution state setting unit invalidates a process for writing in the resource information storage area in the second process (Setting processor variables can achieve said configuration, COL. 7, lines 24 34).
- 14. As to claim 13, Zolnowsky discloses the exclusion controller, further comprising a monitor control unit for executing exclusion control by a monitor mode in which all the information processing units waiting to acquire the contended resource are previously recorded and the information processing unit having released the contended resource notifies the information processing units waiting to acquire the contended resource that the contended resource has been released, if information indicating that the prioritized information processing unit does

Art Unit: 2111

not exist has been stored in the resource information storage area (Figure 5 illustrates a queue 501, comprising said information, COL. 7, lines 15 – 23).

- 15. As to claim 14, Zolnowsky discloses an exclusion controller, which allows any one of a plurality of threads capable of acquiring an identical contended resource to acquire the contended resource to the exclusion of the other threads different from the relevant thread, the exclusion controller comprising: an execution state acquisition/notification unit for acquiring execution location information indicating an execution location of a program in a first thread of the threads and for notifying a second thread different from the first thread of the execution location information (Figure 5 illustrates said controller controlling high priority queue 501 that allows the selected thread to access shared resource 503, excluding other threads. These steps are shown in figure 7, steps 701 and 702, Col. 8, lines 14 – 18); and an execution state setting unit for allowing the second thread to execute a process for setting, in the first thread, execution location information indicating that the contended resource is not being acquired, if the acquired execution location information indicates that the contended resource is being acquired (The inter-related variable among processors allows for said configuration, COL. 7, lines 24 – 34).
- 16. As to claims 15 and 19, Zolnowsky discloses an exclusion control method and recording medium for allowing an information processing unit to acquire a contended resource to the exclusion of other information processing units, the exclusion control

Art Unit: 2111

method comprising: a plurality of non-prioritized information processing modules for mutually exclusively acquiring a

non-prioritized exclusion right by a first process, the non-prioritized exclusion right indicating a candidate for acquiring the contended resource (Figure 3 shows the recording medium such as mass storage unit 315 and figure 5 illustrates the plurality of non-prioritized processing modules 507,513, 519 contending for exclusive access to shared memory 503. Said contention is enabled by the associated dispatcher, such as 509., COL. 7, lines 15 - 20;

Zolnowsky does not explicitly disclose a prioritized information processing unit acquiring the contended resource by a second process to the exclusion of the non-prioritized information processing unit having acquired the non-prioritized exclusion right, the second process requiring a shorter processing time than the first process (.

However, Cutler teaches of a prioritized information processing unit acquiring the contended resource by a second process to the exclusion of the non-prioritized information processing unit having acquired the non-prioritized exclusion right, the second process requiring a shorter processing time than the first process. Figure 4 illustrates a mode (epicode mode) in step 405 where access to the memory unit is gained in an efficient manner, COL. 4, lines 23 – 50. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the epicode mode of Cutler in the multiprocessor system of Zolnowsky to improve the data flow efficiency of said system, (COL. 1, lines 63 – 65). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been

Art Unit: 2111

motivated to combine the epicode mode of Cutler with the system of Zolnowsky to provide an efficient system, COL. 1, lines 63 – 65.

17. As to claims 16 and 20, Zolnowsky discloses an exclusion control method and recording medium for allowing any one of a plurality of threads capable of acquiring an identical contended resource to acquire the contended resource to the exclusion of the other threads different from the relevant

thread, the exclusion control method comprising: an execution state acquisition/notification module for acquiring execution location information indicating an execution location of a program in a first thread of the threads and for notifying a second thread different from the first thread of the execution location information (Figure 3 shows the recording medium such as mass storage unit 315 and Figure 5 illustrates said controller controlling high priority queue 501 that allows the selected thread to access shared resource 503, excluding other threads. These steps are shown in figure 7, steps 701 and 702, Col. 8, lines 14 – 18); and an execution state setting module for allowing the second thread to execute a process for setting, in the first thread, execution location information indicating that the contended resource is not acquired, if the acquired execution location information indicates that the contended resource is acquired (The inter-related variable among processors allows for said configuration, COL. 7, lines 24 – 34).

Art Unit: 2111

- 18. As to claim 17, Zolnowsky discloses—a program for causing a computer to function as an exclusion controller, which allows an information processing unit to acquire a contended resource to the exclusion of other information processing units, the program causing the computer to effect the functions (Zolnowsky teaches of application loaded into the operating system kernel to execute program, COL. 5, lines 26 38).
- 19. As to claim 18, Zolnowsky discloses a program for causing a computer to function as an exclusion controller which allows any one of a plurality of threads capable of acquiring an identical contended resource to acquire the contended resource to the exclusion of the other threads different from the relevant thread, the program causing the computer to effect the functions (Zolnowsky teaches of application loaded into the operating system kernel to execute program, COL. 5, lines 26 38).
- 20. As to claim 21, Zolnowsky discloses a computer program product comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing exclusion control, the computer readable program code means in said computer program product comprising computer readable program code means for causing a computer to effect the functions (Zolnowsky teaches of application loaded into the operating system kernel to execute program, COL. 5, lines 26 38).
- 21. As to claim 22, Zolnowsky discloses a computer program product comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied

Art Unit: 2111

therein for causing exclusion control, the computer readable program code means in said computer program product comprising computer readable program code means for causing a computer to effect the functions (Zolnowsky teaches of application loaded into the operating system kernel to execute program, COL. 5, lines 26 – 38).

- 22. As to claim 23, Zolnowsky discloses an article of manufacture comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing exclusion control, the computer readable program code means in said article of manufacture comprising computer readable program code means for causing a computer to effect the steps (Figure 3 illustrates mass storage unit 315 which comprises program code to effect computer operation, COL. 5, lines 26 38).
- 23. As to claim 24, Zolnowsky discloses a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps for exclusion control, said method steps comprising the steps (Figure 3 illustrates mass storage unit 315 which comprises program code to effect computer operation, COL. 5, lines 26 38).
- 24. As to claim 25, Zolnowsky discloses an article of manufacture comprising a computer usable medium having computer readable program code means embodied therein for causing exclusion control, the computer readable program code means in said article of manufacture comprising computer readable program code means for

į

Art Unit: 2111

causing a computer to effect the steps (Figure 3 illustrates mass storage unit 315 which comprises program code to effect computer operation, COL. 5, lines 26 – 38).

25. As to claim 26, Zolnowsky discloses a program storage device readable by machine, tangibly embodying a program of instructions executable by the machine to perform method steps for exclusion control, said method steps comprising the steps (Figure 3 illustrates mass storage unit 315 which comprises program code to effect computer operation, COL. 5, lines 26 – 38).

## Conclusion

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Daley whose telephone number is 571 272 3625. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am. - 4p m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on 571 272 3632. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2111

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CAD 6/20/2006

MARK H. RINEHART SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100